DRAWINGS

The amended replacement sheets of drawings in the attached Appendix include changes to the drawings as follows:

Replacement sheet 2/12 includes Figures 2 and 3, which replaces the original sheet 2/12 including Figures 2 and 3. In Replacement sheet 2/12, references to "14a" and "15a" have been deleted.

Replacement sheet 7/12 includes Figures 13, 14A, 14B, 15A and 15B, which replaces the original sheet including Figures 13, 14A, 14B, 15A and 15B. Replacement sheet 7/12 has the previously omitted "RELATED ART" label added to Figures 14A and 14B.

Replacement sheet 10/12 includes Figures 19 and 20, which replaces the original sheet including Figures 19 and 20. Replacement sheet 10/12 has the previously omitted "RELATED ART" label added to Figures 19 and 20, and the reference to "G" in Figure 20 has been replaced by "G".

Replacement sheet 11/12 includes Figures 21 and 22, which replaces the original sheet including Figures 21 and 22. Replacement sheet 11/12 has the previously omitted "RELATED ART" label added to Figures 21 and 22.

Replacement sheet 12/12 includes Figure 23, which replaces the original sheet including Figure 23. Replacement sheet 12/12 has the previously omitted "RELATED ART" label added to Figure 23.

14451304\V-1 7/25/2005

REMARKS

In the March 24, 2005 *Quayle* Office Action, the Examiner noted that all pending claims 1-8 are in condition for allowance, but raised the following formal matters:

- 1. The oath/declaration is defective:
- 2. The Drawings are objected to because they include reference signs not mentioned in the specification ("14a" and "15a" in Fig. 2);
- 3. The Drawings are objected to because Figs. 14A, 14B, and 19-23 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--;
- 4. The Drawings are objected to because in Fig. 20, reference sign 'G' should be designated 'G" for consistency.
- 5. The specification is objected to because the title is not descriptive;
- 6. The specification is objected to because in line 8 on page 1, the date of the priority document should be corrected;
- 7. The specification is objected to because in lines 8-9 of claim 5, "said bottom magnetic core layer" should be changed to --said lower magnetic core layer- in order to more clearly refer back to lines 6 and 7 of claim 5.

Applicants respectfully respond as follows:

- 1. Applicants have attached hereto a new declaration to replace the defective declaration. The objection to the declaration is now moot.
- 2. Applicants have amended the drawings as described above on page 3. The objections to the drawings are now moot.
- 3. Applicants have amended the title as set forth above on page 2. The objection to the title is now moot.
- Applicants have amended claim 5 to in the manner suggested by the Examiner. Applicants respectfully submit that the objections to claim 5 are now moot.

Response to Office Action Application No. 10/673,004 Page 9

Applicants respectfully submit that all formal matters raised by the Examiner have been corrected.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 7.75.06

By:

Enrique Perez Registration No. 43,853

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

P.O. Box 061080

Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower

Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080

(312) 876-8000